

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 SIXTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

July 5, 1988



REPLY TO HW-112

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Marc Horton, Director of Programs Washington Department of Ecology Mail Stop PV-11 Olympia, Washington 98504-8711

Re: Issuance of RCRA §3013 Order on Chemical Processors, Inc. - Pier 91

Dear Mr. Horton:

Based on our review of available data concerning the Chemical Processors, Inc. facility located in Seattle, Washington (Pier 91), we have concluded that sufficient information exists to warrant the investigation of this facility to determine if hazardous wastes or constituents have been released from the facility into the environment. Enclosed is a copy of the order we are issuing to the company to conduct an investigation to assess the extent of release of hazardous wastes and constituents from the facility.

Although the action we are taking is not pursuant to Section 3008(a)(2) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which requires that we give notice to the Washington Department of Ecology prior to issuing an administrative order in an authorized state, please let this letter serve to formally notify you of our action. We have discussed this action with members of your staff and believe that our action will neither jeopardize or interfere with activities your staff are pursuing at the facility.

Sincerely,

Granies E. Findley, Director Hazardous Waste Division

Kandall F Smith

Enclosure

cc: Howard Steeley, Department of Ecology - Southwest Region Julie Seleck, Department of Ecology - Northwest Region



U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 1200 SIXTH AVENUE

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101



REPLY TO ATTN OF: SO - 125

July 5, 1988

Chemical Processors, Inc. Suite 400 2203 Airport Way South Seattle, Washington 98134

Attn: Ms. Marlys Polumbo General Counsel

> Re: EPA Order #1088-06-23-3013 For Facility At Seattle

Dear Officers and Directors:

The corporation, Chemical Processors, Inc. is hereby served with the enclosed true copy of the original EPA Order which is on file with me and is dated June 30, 1988. Your attention is also invited to the remaining two documents (a "Notice" and an "Acceptance") which accompany the Order and are also served with it.

Sincerely,

Sue Atkinson

Regional Hearing Clerk

3 4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chemical Processors, Incorporated Seattle, Washington (WAD000812917)

Respondent

Proceedings under §3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. §6934

NOTICE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING: AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR SETTLEMENT MEETING

IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

EPA No. 1088-06-23-3013

THE DIRECTOR, HAZARDOUS WASTE DIVISION, EPA REGION 10 TO THE FOLLOWING Chemical Processors, Incorporated, Pier 91 Facility RESPONDENT:

YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE AS FOLLOWS:

- Administrative proceedings have been commenced against you. An I. order to develop and implement a proposal for monitoring, analysis, and testing is hereby issued by EPA, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §6934.
 - You are hereby NOTIFIED of, and served with, the ATTACHED TRUE II. COPIES of documents filed in these proceedings. The Order contains governmental commands of EPA which must be obeyed by you. It requires that you both develop a proposal for and after approval by EPA, carry out such monitoring, testing, analysis, and reporting as necessary to ascertain the nature and extent of the hazard that exists at your facility due to the release and/or presence of hazardous waste at your facility.

III. The signed originals of the attached documents are filed with the EPA Regional Hearing Clerk, Park Place Bldg., Suite 1200 (M/S SO-125), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, King County, Washington, 98101.

IV. RECONSIDERATION AND PROPOSAL PROCEDURES

- A. Under the provisions of the Resource Conservation And Recovery Act ("RCRA"), Respondent may confer with EPA at any time prior to submittal of the proposal ordered in the ORDER TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND TESTING attached hereto, to: comment on the Findings contained therein; provide whatever additional information Respondent believes relevant to the disposition of this matter; and/or discuss the preparation of the proposal. The proposal submitted by Respondent shall be subject to review, modification and approval by EPA. After submittal of the proposal, Respondent shall be afforded an opportunity to confer with EPA on a date specified by EPA to discuss the terms of the proposal. Following this conference and after review, modification (if any), and approval of the proposal by EPA, Respondent shall forthwith conduct, carry out, implement and report on the sampling, analysis, and monitoring program according to its approved terms and schedules.
- B. If EPA determines that Respondent is not able to conduct the activities required in said attached Order (developed pursuant to RCRA §3013) in a satisfactory manner, is not able to conduct the activities contained in the EPA-approved proposal, or if actions carried out are deemed unsatisfactory, then EPA may conduct such actions deemed reasonable by EPA to ascertain the nature and extent of the hazard at the facility. Respondent may then be ordered to reimburse EPA for the costs of such activity pursuant to §3013(d) of RCRA. In addition, or in the alternative, in the event Respondent

fails to comply with the terms and provisions of this Order, EPA may commence a civil action to require compliance with such order and to assess a civil penalty of not to exceed \$5,000.00 for each day during which such failure or refusal occurs.

V. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT MEETING

- A. An informal settlement meeting to discuss this administrative action can be held at your request at EPA's offices in Seattle, Washington. You may comment on (and provide additional relevant information on) the Findings in the Order to Develop and Implement A Proposal For Monitoring, Analysis, And Testing. Such a meeting might resolve matters by a settlement which would make a formal conference unnecessary.
- B. In order to arrange an informal settlement meeting, you must contact Mr. John A. Hamill, Esq., EPA Region 10 Office of Regional Counsel, M/S SO-125, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101 at (206) 442-1475 not later than thirty (30) calendar days from receipt hereof.

ISSUED AT SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, this 30 day of June, 1988.

Charles E. Findley, Director Hazardous Waste Division, EPA

ORDER

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10 .
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 1200 Seattle, Washington 98101

IN THE MATTER OF:

CHEMICAL PROCESSORS, INC. PIER 91

RESPONDENT,

PROCEEDING UNDER §3013 OF
THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AND
RECOVERY ACT, 42 U.S.C.

§6934 (amended 1984)

RCRA Docket: 1088-06-23-3013 ORDER TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND TESTING

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This ORDER is issued pursuant to 3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. §6934, as (42 U.S.C §6934) hereinafter referred to as "RCRA" or "the Act." The United States Environmental Protection Agency is hereinafter referred to as "EPA". The Respondent is Chemical Processors, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Chempro" or "Respondent").
- 2. The authority to issue this order and notice pursuant to §3013 of the Act is vested in the EPA Administrator who has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 10, who has further delegated this authority to the Director of the Hazardous Waste Division of EPA Region 10. Based upon available information, the Director of the Hazardous Waste Division

hereby issues the following Findings of Fact, Determinations, and Order

Requiring Submission and Implementation of a Proposal for Sampling, Analysis,

Monitoring and Reporting.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 3. Respondent operates a facility, the primary function of which is the thermal, chemical, and physical treatment of waste oil and oily wastewater. As a result of this operation, Respondent's facility has generated and continues to generate, hazardous waste within the meaning of the Act. Respondent's facility is located on approximately 4 acres of land leased from the City of Seattle at Pier 91 Seattle, Washington hereafter "the facility".
- 4. On or about August 18, 1980, Respondent submitted to EPA a "Notification of Hazardous Waste Activity" (EPA Form 8700-12) for its Pier 91 ... facility located at 5501 Airport Way South Seattle, Washington pursuant to RCRA §3010(a) 42 U.S.C. §6930(a) and thereby received EPA identification number WAD000812917. That notification identified Respondent as the owner and operator of the facility and the facility as a generator of hazardous waste and a treatment, storage, and disposal facility.
- 5. In March 1988 a RCRA Facility Assessment was conducted at the facility. According to this report accidental spills have occurred repeatedly in the storage area at the facility. Approximately 500,000 gallons of oil, waste oil, and oily wastewater has been reportedly spilled in this general vicinity since the facility first began operation in the 1930's. Prior to 1986, the surface of the Marine Diesel Oil Yard, which represents a portion of the facility, was native soil. Approximately 450,000 gallons was spilled on the unpaved surface. Other contaminated soil was sealed in boxes constructed between the buttresses on the containment wall. According to the RFA report

waste oil is currently seeping from these boxes. In 1986 some of the oil contaminated soil at the facility was excavated and placed in 55 gallon drums for disposal.

- 6. The oily wastes released during these spill events have the same characteristics of the other materials that Chempro handles at the Pier 91 facility. The tanks containing oily wastewater are contaminated with heavy metals such as lead, chromium, and zinc.
- 7. In May 1988 a Phase I hydrogeological investigation was conducted for Chempro by Sweet-Edwards/EMCON, Inc. The purpose of the study was to define the potential presence of contamination in the soils and groundwater beneath the Chempro Pier 91 facility. During the soil boring activities a petroleum odor was noted in boring HA-1 and HA-2. Visible contamination was observed in both the saturated and unsaturated zone in HA-2. Visual contamination was observed in the saturated zone of boring HA-1. Petroleum contaminants were observed in the upper 2-feet of the boring sidewall of abandoned boring CP-107.
- 8. Releases of constituents regulated under RCRA have occured at the facility. Lead and chromium contaminated wastes may exhibit the hazardous characteristic of EP toxicity with waste codes of D008 and D007 respectively and are classified as hazardous waste by EPA pursuant to 40 CFR Part 261. The release of these substances may present a substantial hazardous to human health and/or the environment.

III. DETERMINATIONS

- 9. Based upon available data and information, including the Findings of Fact set forth above, EPA makes the following determinations:
- A. Hazardous waste is or has been, stored, treated, or disposed of at the facility owned or operated by Chempro.

ORDER - Page 3 of 6

- B. The presence and/or release of hazardous waste from the facility may present a substantial hazard to human health or the environment.
- C. Sampling, analysis, monitoring, and reporting is required to ascertain the nature and extent of such hazard'to human health or the environment.

IV. ORDER

- 10. Pursuant to Section 3013 of the Act [42 U.S.C. §6934], and in order to ascertain the nature and extent of the hazard at the Chempro facility as described herein above, Respondent is hereby ordered to submit within 30 days of receipt of this Order a written proposal to EPA for the sampling, analysis, monitoring and reporting of the hazardous wastes and hazardous waste constituents that are present or that may have been released from the facility, and is hereby ordered to implement such proposal, after it is approved by EPA. Such written proposal shall contain, but is not limited to, the following:
- 11. Provisions which will provide a basis for determination of whether hazardous waste or hazardous constituents have been released from the facility, including the Marine Diesel Oil Yard location, into soil, surface water or groundwater. Such provisions shall include a plan and timetable for the installation of a soil boring and groundwater monitoring program. Such groundwater monitoring program proposal shall include recommendations as to locations, depth, and construction thereof, of wells designed to monitor groundwater elevation and water quality.
- 12. A sampling and analysis plan for monitoring groundwater which describes proposed indicator parameters, justification for selection of parameters, frequency of sampling, and procedures and quality assurance

measures for sampling and analyzing hazardous waste and hazardous constituents. The plan shall provide that groundwater be sampled and analyzed on at least two separate occasions. The plan shall also provide for representative sampling and analysis for Appendix IX constituents as identified at 40 CFR Part 264 which may be present at the facility.

- 13. A plan and timetable to collect and analyze soil samples of appropriate size, depth, and location to determine the nature and extent of contamination of the surface and of the soil column at the facility.
- 14. A provision for site access for employees, agents, and contractors of EPA at all reasonable times for purposes of inspecting and verifying compliance with the provisions of this Order in accordance with and pursuant to the authority of §3007 of the Act, 42 U.S.C. §6927.
- 15. A description of the means of implementating the items set forth above; a proposal for reporting to EPA on the progress of these items; and for reporting the results of the sampling, analysis and monitoring program.
- 16. All reports, plans, proposals and other documents required under this Order shall be submitted in duplicate to: Charles W. Rice, Chief, RCRA Compliance Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

V. ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDER

17. In the event Respondent fails to comply with the terms and provisions of this Order, EPA may commence a civil action to require compliance with such order and to recover a civil penalty of not to exceed \$5,000.00 for each calendar day during which such failure occurs or continues.

18. The attached NOTICE OF PROCEEDINGS should be carefully reviewed

Representatives and concerning Respondent's potential liability in this matter.

concerning the opportunity to confer both formally and informally with EPA

DATED this 30th day of June

ORDER - Page 6 of 6

orm CBD-183 2-8-76 DOJ

1 UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 10 2 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101 3 IN THE MATTER OF: 4 Chemical Processors, Incorporated RESPONDENT'S ACCEPTANCE Seattle, Washington OF ORDER TO DEVELOP AND 5 (WAD000812917) IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND 6 Respondent TESTING 7 Proceedings under §3013 of the) IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER: Resource Conservation and 8 Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. §6934 EPA No. 1088-06-23-3013 9 By signing below, and returning this Acceptance notice to EPA at the 10 address provided in the attached NOTICE OF PROCEEDINGS, Respondent hereby admits EPA's jurisdiction to issue the Order to Develop and Implement a 11 Proposal for Monitoring, Analysis, and Testing (Docket No. 1088-06-24-3013), but neither admits nor denies EPA's Findings of Fact 12 and/or Determination set forth in the above described Order. 13 Dated this day of , 1988 14 15 Chemical Processors, Inc. 16 17 18 19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10



1200 SIXTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101

July 5, 1988

REPLY TO ATTN OF: HW-112

CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Marc Horton, Director of Programs Washington Department of Ecology Mail Stop PV-11 Olympia, Washington 98504-8711

Re: Issuance of RCRA §3013 Order on Chemical Processors, Inc. - Tacoma

Dear Mr. Horton:

Based on our review of available data concerning the Chemical Processors, Inc. facility located in Tacoma, Washington (Parcels B & C), we have concluded that sufficient information exists to warrant the investigation of this facility to determine if hazardous wastes or constituents have been released from the facility into the environment. Enclosed is a copy of the order we are issuing to the company to conduct an investigation to assess the extent of release of hazardous wastes and constituents from the facility.

Although the action we are taking is not pursuant to Section 3008(a)(2) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which requires that we give notice to the Washington Department of Ecology prior to issuing an administrative order in an authorized state, please let this letter serve to formally notify you of our action. We have discussed this action with members of your staff and believe that our action will neither jeoperdize or interfere with activities your staff are pursuing at the facility.

Sincerely,

For Charles E. Findley, Director
Hazardous Waste Division

Enclosure

cc: Howard Steele, Ecology - Southwest Region Julie Seleck, Ecology - Northwest Region

CONCURRENCE SHEET

for

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chemical Processors, Incorporated Tacoma, Washington (WAD020257945)

Respondent

Proceedings under \$3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. \$6934

NOTICE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING: AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR SETTLEMENT MEETING

IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

EPA No. 1088-06-24-3013

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chemical Processors, Incorporated Tacoma, Washington (WAD020257945)

Respondent

Proceedings under \$3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. \$6934

RCRA Docket No. 1086-06-24-3013

ORDER TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND TESTING

WNP:7023G & 7137G:06/16/88

PITERRE Went 6/16/88 RIGE /r Wen 6/20/88 FEIGNER Hamili OK 27 le 88

4

6

8

9 10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

IN THE MATTER OF:

Chemical Processors, Incorporated Tacoma, Washington (WAD020257945)

Respondent

Proceedings under §3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. §6934

NOTICE OF LEGAL PROCEEDINGS, NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING: AND NOTICE OF OPPORTUNITY FOR SETTLEMENT MEETING

IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

EPA No. 1088-06-24-3013

THE DIRECTOR, HAZARDOUS WASTE DIVISION, EPA REGION 10 TO THE FOLLOWING RESPONDENT: Chemical Processors, Incorporated, Tacoma Facility

YOU ARE HEREBY GIVEN NOTICE AS FOLLOWS:

- I. Administrative proceedings have been commenced against you. An order to develop and implement a proposal for monitoring, analysis, and testing is hereby issued by EPA, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §6934.
- II. You are hereby NOTIFIED of, and served with, the ATTACHED TRUE COPIES of documents filed in these proceedings. The Order contains governmental commands of EPA which must be obeyed by you. It requires that you both develop a proposal for and after approval by EPA, carry out such monitoring, testing, analysis, and reporting as necessary to ascertain the nature and extent of the hazard that exists at your facility due to the release and/or presence of hazardous waste at your facility.

III. The signed originals of the attached documents are filed with the EPA Regional Hearing Clerk, Park Place Bldg., Suite 1200 (M/S SO-125), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, King County, Washington, 98101.

IV. RECONSIDERATION AND PROPOSAL PROCEDURES

- A. Under the provisions of the Resource Conservation And Recovery Act ("RCRA"), Respondent may confer with EPA at any time prior to submittal of the proposal ordered in the ORDER TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND TESTING attached hereto, to: comment on the Findings contained therein; provide whatever additional information Respondent believes relevant to the disposition of this matter; and/or discuss the preparation of the proposal. The proposal submitted by Respondent shall be subject to review, modification and approval by EPA. After submittal of the proposal, Respondent shall be afforded an opportunity to confer with EPA on a date specified by EPA to discuss the terms of the proposal. Following this conference and after review, modification (if any), and approval of the proposal by EPA, Respondent shall forthwith conduct, carry out, implement and report on the sampling, analysis, and monitoring program according to its approved terms and schedules.
- B. If EPA determines that Respondent is not able to conduct the activities required in said attached Order (developed pursuant to RCRA §3013) in a satisfactory manner, is not able to conduct the activities contained in the EPA-approved proposal, or if actions carried out are deemed unsatisfactory, then EPA may conduct such actions deemed reasonable by EPA to ascertain the nature and extent of the hazard at the facility. Respondent may then be ordered to reimburse EPA for the costs of such activity pursuant to §3013(d) of RCRA. In addition, or in the alternative, in the event Respondent

fails to comply with the terms and provisions of this Order, EPA may commence a civil action to require compliance with such order and to assess a civil penalty of not to exceed \$5,000.00 for each day during which such failure or refusal occurs.

V. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT MEETING

- A. An informal settlement meeting to discuss this administrative action can be held at your request at EPA's offices in Seattle, Washington. You may comment on (and provide additional relevant information on) the Findings in the Order to Develop and Implement A Proposal For Monitoring, Analysis, And Testing. Such a meeting might resolve matters by a settlement which would make a formal conference unnecessary.
- B. In order to arrange an informal settlement meeting, you must contact,...
 Mr. John A. Hamill, Esq., EPA Region 10 Office of Regional Counsel, M/S
 SO-125, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101 at (206) 442-1475 not
 later than thirty (30) calendar days from receipt hereof.

ISSUED AT SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, this 30th day of June, 1988.

Charles E. Findley, Director Hazardous Waste Division, EPA

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This ORDER is issued pursuant to §3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended [42 U.S.C. §6934] (hereinafter referred to as "RCRA" or "the Act").
- 2. The authority to issue this ORDER and NOTICE is vested in the Administrator who has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator, who has further delegated this authority to the Director, Hazardous Waste Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 (hereinafter "EPA"). The RESPONDENT is Chemical Processors, Incorporated, Tacoma facility (hereinafter "Respondent" or "Chempro").

24.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 3. Respondent is an organization doing business in the State of Washington operating a facility located at Tacoma, Washington and is a person as defined in Section 1004(15) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6903(15). Attachment A provides a depiction of the facility in relation to its surroundings and a plot plan of the facility (Figure I).
- 4. On or about August 13, 1980, Respondent submitted to EPA its notification of hazardous waste activity (EPA Form 8700-12) pursuant to Section 3010 of RCRA as a generator of hazardous waste at its 1701 Alexander Street, Tacoma, Washington facility, EPA I.D. No. WAD020257945. The notification identified Respondent as both a generator and an owner/operator of a treatment, storage or disposal facility for ignitable, corrosive, reactive and toxic wastes along with selected listed hazardous wastes identified at 40 CFR Part 261.
- 5. On or about November 14, 1980, Respondent submitted its Part A permit application pursuant to Section 3005(e) of RCRA and so qualified its facilty for interim status. Operations identified at the facility included hazardous waste storage in drums and tanks and treatment in tanks.
- 6. In a report dated November 1982, prepared by the consulting firm of Harper-Owes for the Chem-Security Systems, Inc. firm in anticipation of their purchase of the facility, it was concluded that ground water at the facility was impacted by a variety of past and present practices.
 - (a) Based on a literature review conducted in preparation of the report it was reported that the two waterways which lie adjacent to the facility (i.e., the Hylebos and Blair Waterways) appear to be the most contaminated receiving waters in the Puget Sound area,

based on toxicant levels and biological impacts. The area (i.e., Commencement Bay) has been designated on EPA's Superfund National Priorities List for remedial action. See Figure II for a description of the area.

(b) Results of ground water monitoring showed contamination in on-site wells (well locations are depicted in Figure III). Selected results are as follows:

| CONSTITUENT | HIGHEST CONC.(ppb) | LOCATION |
|-------------|--------------------|----------|
| Chromium | 32 | T-8 |
| Nickel | 455 | T-6 |
| Cyanide | 15 | T-6 |
| Pheno1 | 1,100 | T-6 |

7. As reported in a letter to EPA from representatives of the Washington Environmental Council, dated August 28, 1987, a 1987 report by Respondent's consultant, Sweet Edwards & Associates, summarized the results of soil and ground water sampling at the facility. Selected observations included in the report are as follows:

(a) For ground water:

| | | | | | Water Quality |
|----------------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| CONSTITUENT | HIGHEST CONC. | (ppb) | LOCATION | MCL (ppb) | Criteria # |
| Barium | 918 | | CTMW-6 | 1,000 | |
| Chromium | 12 | | 11 | 50 | 50/10,300 * |
| Lead | 114 | | 11 | 50 | 5.6 |
| Arsenic | 15 | | u | 50 | 13/36 * |
| Nickel | 11 | | 11 | | 8.3 |
| Zinc | 61 | | ü | | 95 |
| Benzene | 12 | | н | 5 | 700 |
| Toluene | 56 | | ii . | | 5,000 |
| Trichloroether | ne 5 | | 11 | 5 | 2,000 + |
| Vinyl chloride | 23 | | п | 2 | |
| Pheno1 | 43 | | 11 | | 5,800 |
| | | | | | |

4

6

7

5

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

| (b) | for soils: | | |
|-----|----------------|---------------------|----------|
| | CONSTITUENT | HIGHEST CONC. (ppb) | LOCATION |
| | Xylenes, total | 840 | CTMW-6 |
| | PCB | 21,000 | 11 |
| | Pheanthrene | 3,000 | ** |
| | Chrysene | 2,100 | 11 |
| | | | |

salt water chronic criteria (#)

Benzo(a)anthracene

(*) value given dependent upon oxidation state of cation (i.e., arsenic +3 and chromium +6 more toxic)

acute criteria level reported. Also, for trivalent chromium acute (+) criteria is reported

NOTE! MCL's are criteria established under Safe Drinking Water Act for protection of public drinking water supplies

800

8. As reported in a draft Phase I Hydrogeological Investigation report prepared by Sweet-Edwards/EMCON Inc. for Respondent dated February 1988 the facility is located on the Puyallup River Delta, which was a tidal marsh/tide flat zone, adjacent to Commencement Bay. This delta has reportedly been altered by dredging and fill activities. Water levels as measured in onsite wells ranged in depth below ground surface from about 2.5 feet at CTMW-10 to approximately 5.8 feet at CTMW-11. Selected ground water monitoring results for organic constituents included in the report are as follows:

| | | | | | Water Quality |
|----------------|-----|-------------|----------|-----------|---------------|
| CONSTITUENT | | CONC. (ppb) | LOCATION | MCL (ppb) | Criteria # |
| Benzene | | 2.1 | CTMW-8 | 5 | 700 |
| Benzene | | 3.3 | CTMW-10 | 11 | 700 |
| Benzene | ,,, | 8.2 | CTMW-6 | | 700 |
| Vinyl chloride | | 12 | " | 2 | |
| Phenol | | 220 | CTMW-11 | - | 5,800 * |
| Phenol | | 14 | CTMW-6 | _ | н |

(#) salt water chronic criteria

(*) salt water acute level reported

NOTE! MCL's are criteria established under Safe Drinking Water Act for protection of public drinking water supplies

9. Based on the information provided in the above Findings, various chemicals (each of which is a hazardous constituent listed in Appendix VIII of 40 CFR Part 261) have been detected in concentrations above EPA Maximum

Contaminant Levels promulgated under the Safe Drinking Water Act (e.g., benzene and vinyl chloride) in ground water underlying the facility. Lead is also a hazardous constituent listed in Appendix VIII to 40 CFR Part 261, Identification and Listing of hazardous waste and has been detected in a concentration exceeding the EPA primary Drinking Water Standard. Lead has also been detected at a concentration which may result in salt water chronic toxicity from ground water discharge into Puget Sound.

10. Surface water in the area, i.e., Puget Sound serves as a source for both recreational and commercial fishing. Drainage from the facility flows north into the Hylebos Waterway or south entering the Blair Waterway, both of which discharge into Puget Sound. Respondent's facility is also located approximately one quarter mile from a public supply drinking water well (i.e., the "Tide Flats" well). A typical pumping year for the well as reported by the Tacoma Public Utilities Bureau is as follows:

| January - May | 0 | MG |
|---------------|-------|----|
| June | 17.01 | MG |
| July | 31.45 | MG |
| August | 32.59 | MG |
| September | 9.1 | MG |

The Tide Flats well has been sampled for metals and other inorganic parameters (July 11, 1986) and found to have chromium contamination at approximately 10 ppb. Although this well is screened several hundred feet below the screened interval of facility monitoring wells, the hydrogeology of the underlying soils is insufficiently characterized to evaluate if this well is a potential receptor of hazardous constituent releases from the facility.

III. DETERMINATION

11. Based on the foregoing and other administrative materials, and pursuant to Section 3013 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6934(a)(1) and (2), the Director, Hazardous Waste Division, EPA Region 10, hereby determines that the presence at and/or release and potential release of hazardous waste from the facility may present a substantial hazard to human health and/or the environment. The Director, Hazardous Waste Division further determines that the expeditious monitoring, testing, analysis and reporting by Respondent, in accordance with methods and procedures developed and/or approved by EPA for hazardous waste investigations (e.g., RCRA Groundwater Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance Document & Test Methods for the Evaluation of Solid Waste, Physical Chemical Methods) is necessary and/or appropriate to ascertain the nature and extent of such hazards as may exist.

IV. ORDER

- 12. Respondent shall, within 30 days of receipt of this Order:
 - a) Submit a proposal ("Proposal") for the monitoring, analysis and testing of groundwater and soil at and near the facility sufficient to identify the nature and extent of soil and groundwater contamination from the release of hazardous waste and/or hazardous constituents at and/or from the facility.
 - b. The Proposal shall be designed so as to fully describe the steps
 Respondent will take to perform a facility assessment which shall include
 the identification and characterization of each solid waste management
 unit at the facility (including locations of past spills where full
 cleanup may not have been achieved) in terms of its potential to release
 hazardous waste and/or constituents and the potential pathways and
 environmental and/or health receptors which may be affected by such a

release from the facility. Copies of all available background information pertaining to past practices and the extent of hazardous waste and/or constituent releases or potential releases from the facility shall be included as appendices to the Proposal in support of the proposed scope of additional work required. The Proposal shall identify the steps which will be followed to: (1) define site geology, physical properties of soils, chemical properties of soils, continuity of saturated zones and confining zones, and depth to uppermost aquifer; (2) define hydraulic parameters for zones to be monitored (e.g., transmissivity, hydraulic conductivity, storage coefficient); (3) define groundwater movement in the site vicinity (e.g., recharge zones, discharge zones, upward vs. downward flow, gradient and potentiometric surface); and (4) determine the nature and extent of contamination migration, and direction of flow.

- c. All work shall be performed in accordance with EPA-approved and established Quality Control and Quality Assurance (QA/QC) procedures. Chain of Custody shall be maintained on all samples and the methodology used for sample analysis shall be in accordance with those methods approved by EPA and established for the analysis of hazardous waste and/or hazardous waste constituents. The detection levels selected shall be sufficient to detect background concentrations of the applicable hazardous waste and/or hazardous waste constituents.
- d. The Proposal shall include a schedule for the performance of all the work described and said schedule shall be so developed as to insure an expeditious implementation of the Proposal once approved by EPA.
- 13. EPA will review and provide Respondent with written comments on the Proposal. Within 30 days of Respondent's receipt of EPA's response to the

ORDER - Page 8 of 9

Proposal, or such other time as approved by EPA based on a showing by Respondent that additional time is required, Respondent shall modify the Proposal as necessary to fully conform to EPA's comments and resubmit the modified Proposal for EPA's approval. If Respondent has fully complied with EPA's comments, EPA will submit a written statement to Respondent of its approval. Otherwise, Respondent shall again revise the Proposal to fully conform to EPA's comments. Upon receipt of written approval from EPA the Proposal will thereby be fully incorporated automatically into this Order and Respondent shall implement the Proposal according to terms and schedules approved by EPA. Respondent shall submit to EPA bimonthly progress reports on its activities pursuant to this Order and shall submit a final report, including all supporting data and quality assurance information to EPA within 30 days of project completion.

14. All reports, plans, proposals and other documents required under this Order shall be submitted in duplicate to: Charles W. Rice, Chief, RCRA Compliance Section (M/S HW-112), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, with a copy sent to the Washington Department of Ecology-Southwest Regional Office.

V. ENFORCEMENT OF THE ORDER

15. In the event Respondent fails to comply with the terms and provisions of this Order, EPA may commence a civil action to require compliance with this order and to recover a civil penalty of not to exceed \$5,000.00 for each calendar day during which such a failure occurs or continues.

16. The attached NOTICE OF PROCEEDINGS should be carefully reviewed concerning the opportunity to confer both formally and informally with EPA representatives and concerning Respondent's potential liability in this matter.

DATED this 30^{t} day of June, 1988,

Charles E. Findley, Director Hazardous Waste Division

ORDER - Page 9 of 9

NITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

IN THE MATTER OF:
Chemical Processors, Incorporated
Tacoma, Washington

(WAD020257945)

Dated this

Respondent

day of

Proceedings under \$3013 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. \$6934

RESPONDENT'S ACCEPTANCE
OF ORDER TO DEVELOP AND
IMPLEMENT A PROPOSAL FOR
MONITORING, ANALYSIS, AND
TESTING

IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

EPA No. 1088-06-24-3013

By signing below, and returning this Acceptance notice to EPA at the address provided in the attached NOTICE OF PROCEEDINGS, Respondent hereby admits EPA's jurisdiction to issue the Order to Develop and Implement a Proposal for Monitoring, Analysis, and Testing (Docket No. 1088-06-24-3013), but neither admits nor denies EPA's Findings of Fact and/or Determination set forth in the above described Order.

| Da ceu | CIIIS | uay | UI | | | , 1900 |
|--------|-------|-----|----|----------|-------------|--------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | Chemical | Processors. | Inc. |